

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
FLORENCE DIVISION

Sandra L. Smith,) C.A. No. 4:10-534-TLW-TER
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) ORDER
)
Michael J. Astrue,)
Commissioner of Social Security,)
)
Defendant.)
)

In this case, plaintiff seeks judicial review of the final decision of the Commissioner denying her claim for disability insurance benefits. This matter now comes before the undersigned for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by United States Magistrate Judge Thomas E. Rogers, III, to whom this case had previously been assigned. In his report, Magistrate Judge Rogers recommends that the defendant Commissioner’s decision be affirmed. (Doc. # 14). The Report was filed on July 14, 2011. To date, no objections have been filed.

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge’s Report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. No objections have been filed to the Report. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

A review of the record indicates that the Report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. Therefore, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report is **ACCEPTED**; and the Commissioner's decision is **AFFIRMED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Terry L. Wooten

TERRY L. WOOTEN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

August 23, 2011
Florence, South Carolina